

PROGRAM

9.30 - 10.00

Welcome coffee

10.00 - 10.15 **Opening**

Christine Chomienne, Director for Research and Innovation, National Cancer Institute (INCa)

10.15 - 10.30

Introduction on the practical aspects of the workshop. François Alla, Deputy-Director of IReSP

10.30 - 12.30

Session 1 : The place of theory into process evaluation: does it highlight the role of mechanisms? Should process evaluation be theory-driven? How? How to consider what might be anticipated in the program-theory? What are the current logic models and frameworks that are combining theory and process of interventions?

Session chairs

Graham Moore, Cardiff University and Pierre Arwidson, Santé Publique France

15

Introduction. Graham Moore, Cardiff University

10

Behaviour change techniques and their mechanisms of action, Susan Michie, University College London

10

A Need of Integrative and Comprehensive Health Intervention Ontology for Intervention Research, Grégory Ninot, Université de Montpellier

10

Socioecological theory-based interventions: How to evaluate the effectiveness of their mechanisms?, Nadir Kellou, Collège Universitaire de médecine générale, Faculté de médecine de Lyon-est, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

40

Discussion

20

Questions of the public

15

Conclusion/Overview. Pierre Arwidson, Santé Publique France

12..30 - 13.30

Lunch break

13.30 - 15.30

Session 2 : The place of pilot studies in process evaluation: objectives, contribution (co-construction of a theory, validation/invalidation of a planned theory, evaluation of the mechanisms, pilot studies to contrast the effects of context or to test different modalities of interventions (in terms of feasibility, recruitment, inclusion, participation, etc.)?

Session chairs

Lehana Thabane, Mc Master University and Jeanine Pommier, EHESP

15

Introduction. Lehana Thabane, Mc Master University

10

Pilot study or evaluability assessment?, Louise Potvin, Université de Montréal

10

Exploring intervention mechanisms before piloting a smoking cessation prevention program : the RESIST study, Laetitia Minary, Université de Montréal and Joëlle Kivits, Université de Lorraine

10

Pilot study and process evaluation: a happy marriage?, Kareen Nour, Ecole de Santé Publique de l'Université de Montréal

40

Discussion

20

Questions of the public

15

Conclusion/Overview. Jeanine Pommier, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Santé Publique

15.30 - 16.00

Coffee break

16.00 - 18.00

Session 3 : Which methodologies might be combined in process evaluation (qualitative, quantitative, mixed-method, realist approach), and how? What is the temporality of process evaluation? Might process evaluation be nested in a trial or is it an alternative design? Which methods can be mobilized? How can and should process evaluation inform intervention adaptation in PHIR? How does a systems perspective shape methods used for process evaluation?

Session chairs

Nancy Edwards, University of Ottawa and François Dabis, Inserm UMR 1219, Université de Bordeaux

15

Introduction. Nancy Edwards, University of Ottawa

10

Point of view (Process evaluation-part of an RCT, an alternative design or both?), Rona Campbell, University of Bristol

10

Point of view (Realist randomized controlled trials), Christopher Bonel, LSHTM

10

Mixed methods' contribution to process evaluation of population health interventions, Marie-Renée Guével, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Santé Publique

40

Discussion

20

Questions of the public

15

Conclusion/Overview. François Dabis, Inserm UMR 1219, Université de Bordeaux

18.00 - 18.15

Conclusion of the day.

Geneviève Chêne, Director of Aviesan ITMO Public Health, Director of the Public Health Research Institute (IReSP)